			0.57	
File	With			
			 	Ī
				l
ĺ				ĺ

## **SECTION 131 FORM**

ABP— 3,4485 - 22		Defer Re O/H				
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received \ \ o \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						
and Development Act, 2000 be/no						
Section 131 not to be invoked at the	nis stage.					
Section 131 to be invoked — allow	2/4 weeks for reply.					
Signed	Date					
EO Pat B	20	11212023				
Signed	Date					
SEO/SAO						
M						
Please prepare BP — Section	131 notice enclosing a co	py of the attached submiss				
To Ta:	sk No	Allow 2/3/4 weeks				
		BP				
Signed	Date					
EO						
≘O Signed	Date					
	Date					
WA						

B140-Task No: 3647691-23 48.15/2.



Pl aming Appeal Online Observatio n

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002858

Online Observation De	tails			
Contact Name Edward Cassidy	Lodgement Date 10/12/2023 08:04:33	Case Number / Description 314485		
Payment Details				
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Edward Cassidy	Payment Amount €50.00		
Processing Section				
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attach	ned 131 Form	N/A — Invalid		
Aishy led		14/12/27		
Fee Refund Requisition	n			
Please Arrange a Refund of Fe		Lodgement No		
€ 50	LDG	S-068559-23		
Reason for Refund				
Ourpaid-exi	sting customer no fee	e required		
Documents Returned to Obser	ver	t Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval		
Signed Pat B EO	Date	20/12/2023		
Finance Section				
Payment Reference		Checked Against Fee Income Online		
ch_3OLi05B1CW0EN5F0	i i			
		(Accounts Section)		
Amount	Refund	Date		
€				
Authorised By (1)	Authori	ised By (2)		
SEO (Finance)	Chief O Member	fficer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board r		
Date	Date			

Edward and Marisa Cassidy Rosewood Coolquay The Ward Co.Dublin D11Y89X

Planning Authority Reference Number: F20A/0668

ABP Case Number: ABP-314485-22

Planning Authority: Fingal County Council

**Re:** A proposed development comprising the taking of a relevant action only within the meaning of Section 34C of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, which relates to the night-time use of the runway system at Dublin Airport

The relevant action if approved would be extremely damaging for our communities. It would mean a worsening of the current situation which itself is unlawful and would provide unlimited night flights and an extension to the permitted day hours from 6am to midnight. How can families be expected to have only 6 hours of uninterrupted sleep time per day and this being exasperated by the DAA's proposal to increase the number of night flights.

The DAA has no appreciation for the local communities or the environment when it comes to compliance with the permitted 2007 planning permission for the north runway, and they don't care what misery they inflict on the local population and communities. The DAA's focus is maximising the full potential of the new North Runway with no consideration of its impact on the local communities or the environment.

The 2007 planning permission is the basis that people have planned and established their lives in the local communities such as St Margarets and Coolquay and since the opening of the North Runway in August 2022 the lives of everyone in these communities have been devastated because the DAA are not complying with the permission they received in 20027 for the North Runway with respect to the flight paths and heights. The flight paths and heights being operated today are totally different to what was permitted and in no way resembles what was applied for and granted in the 2007 planning permission. The relevant planning authorities must appreciate the negative impact that the current flight paths are having on the affected communities notwithstanding that they are illegal and compel the DAA to comply with the 2007 planning permission (the extant permission).

Having examined the new documents submitted by the DAA it is evident that they have used the current flight paths (unauthorised development/ illegal flight path) as the baseline for their submission. This is wrong and unethical. They are hoping that An Board Pleanála will fail to carry out planning due diligence and ignore or dismiss the planning permission granted in 2007 inclusive of conditions for the unauthorised development that the DAA are currently applying.

The current flight paths are a very important issue and should be considered as part of the relevant action submission. The current flight paths the DAA are applying today were not considered in the 2007 planning permission nor were any noise modelling along these unpermitted routes. The noise

modelling for the routes currently being operated (the unauthorised development) did not appear until the DAA's 2023 submission. There are no noise monitors located under the current flight path in the Coolquay area and there is no reference to this area in any noise reports up to the 2023 submission.

The DAA submission continually refers to "passage of time" in their 2023 submission, as if the DAA believe that it is acceptable to make changes later, as and when they see fit, no matter what the consequences are or whether it is compliant with planning and legislative acts and the governments climate plan. The DAA seems to be a law to themselves and are happy to ignore their responsibility to planning, environmental, health and legislative matters and do not care about their neighbours.

The proposed increase in passenger numbers is counterproductive to the governments climate action plan. The DAA seems to be ignoring the fact that increase aircraft means increase CO2 emissions both in the air and on the ground. The DAA seem to ignore emissions in the air when reporting on their CO2 target plan. What is also ignored by the DAA is the increase in road traffic and car parking that will happen if the airport capacity increases. The only mode of traffic to get passengers and airport staff/ contractors to and from the airport are cars and busses, and the roads infrastructure is reported to be at capacity. How can putting more planes in the air, having more cars and busses on the roads, building more car parks, and increasing the capacity of the roads network around the airport be sustainable. If anything, it seems like the DAA are moving the opposite way and ignoring its obligations to climate control and the government's climate action plan which targets 50% reduction by 2030 and carbon neutral by 2050. The transport infrastructure is not in place to facilitate further passenger numbers in Dublin Airport and the proposed Metro rail system will not be operational until the end of this decade at the earliest.

Our enjoyment of our home has been taken away from us, whereby we cannot enjoy the use of our open spaces and garden when planes are flying overhead (noise levels at c. 80db, flight height 2000 ft- 2400ft, every 90 seconds at busy periods) and inside in our home (noise levels recorded at c.60db). The current operation is causing huge disturbance and stress to our family and the thousands of others in the local communities impacted by the DAA's illegal development/flight activity. The DAA's illegal development/ flight activity is having a negative impact on the local community's health and wellbeing attributed by the excessive aircraft noise levels and air pollution, and this is all being ignored by the DAA.

The 2023 supplementary EIAR submitted by the DAA within the significant additional information is prepared by consultants employed by the DAA and is not independently checked so open to scrutiny. It is biased and based on the DAA's current illegal flight path so cannot be relied upon. For instance, one of the more critical issues and concerns is the current flight paths impact on the local school at Kilcoskan. This school is not referred to in the DAA's reports yet is one of the institutions most affected. The reason It is not referred to in the DAA planning and reports is because the flights were not previously planned to take this route. The school is now directly under the current flight path, and this is being ignored just like the surrounding community. This school has over 100 children enrolled and caters for autistic children. How can the DAA ignore the plight of this school permitting aircraft to fly its illegal route directly over the school, enduring the children and staff to exorbitant noise levels and air pollution. Notwithstanding that air planes should not be flying over this area, the height of aircraft flying over the Kilcoskan school and the Coolquay area is extremely low at c.2000ft – 2400ft. These heights are well below those proposed in the planning permission for c. 6km travelled.

We plead with An Bord Pleanála to act within the limits of its powers and compel the DAA to comply with the 2007 planning permission, restrict the DAA to the current nighttime cap and the current annual passenger cap.

We propose that an oral hearing is critical given the gravity of the situation.